

Studia Regionalne i Lokalne



## USER GUIDE FOR THE OJS SYSTEM FOR REVIEWERS

https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/

## Content

OJS (Open Journal Systems)	2
REGISTRATION	3
SETTINGS AND ROLES	5
ARTICLE REVIEW	6
SUBMISSIONS	14
FORGOTTEN PASSWORD	15





## OJS (Open Journal Systems)

Open Journal Systems (OJS) is a platform used to manage the editorial process and publish academic journals online.

With OJS, users can:

- o submit manuscripts and track their status,
- o perform peer reviews,
- manage all stages of the publishing workflow: from submission to peer review and final approval,
- o monitor various types of activity within the system.

#### Introductory tutorial videos on navigating the OJS platform (in English):

• How to create a new user and assign a role to a user in Open Journal Systems (OJS)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YIOmhONn864

- What is Open Journal Systems (OJS) and how to submit a paper in Open Journal Systems https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5tj1GLi-v40
- Editor Work Flow and Publication Process

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MHdhCTty5dM

o The Reviewer's steps

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CINhUa35Jic





## REGISTRATION

The journal's editorial board selects individuals to review specific articles and sends invitations by email. The invitation includes instructions on how to accept or decline. If accepted, the reviewer is asked to register in the OJS system:

https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/user/register

● Language selection	
LOGIN SUBMISSIONS PRIVACY STATEMENT CONTACT	
Home / Register  Register Regi	Language Erailth Jeosé Poslad

o Fill in personal details (given name, family name, affiliation, country)



o Provide login credentials (email address, username, password, repeat password)



- Confirm the following consents:
  - required: privacy statements
  - optional: be notified of new publications and announcements
  - required: agree to be contacted with requests to review sumbissmions to this journal





o Complete the CAPTCHA: "I'm not a robot"



Once you see the message "Registration awaiting verification", an email will be sent from sril.euroreg@uw.edu.pl



#### o Click the confirmation link in the email inbox

	[SRiL] Studia Regionalne i Lokalne:	/alidate Your Account Odebrane ×	8	Ø
Carda Angeleration I Charles	sril.euroreg@uw.edu.pl	11:45 (2 minuty temu) 🕱	¢	1
	Reg Przetłumacz na polski X			
	Dear User,			
	You have created an account with Studia Regionalne i Loke	Ine, but before you can start using it, you need to validate your email account. To do this, simply follow the link b	elow:	
	https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/user/active	teUser, (XEpMcBSR		
	Thank you, Dorota Celińska-Janowicz			

#### • Select "Login" on the account activation confirmation screen

e 1604 2714 8000 1554 1579-4995	Login Submissions	Privacy Statement
Studia Regionalne i Lokalne Curores Workflow		
LOGIN SUBMISSIONS PRIVACY STATEMENT CONTACT		
Home /		
	Language	
Thank you for activating your account. You may now log in using the credentials you sumlied when you created your account	English	
Thank you to activating your account. Tou may now log in using the directiculary you supplied when you created your account.	<u>Język Polski</u>	

• Log in using your username and password







## SETTINGS AND ROLES

- Once registered and logged in, you can view and modify your role(s). Available roles include:
  - Reader
  - Author (can submit articles and post comments)
  - Reviewer (can evaluate submissions and provide recommendations for revision and/or publication)

https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/user/profile

- Click the profile icon
- Select Edit Profile
- Click the Roles tab
- Select applicable roles (Reader, Author, Reviewer)
- o Click Save

Change Language	Studia Regionalne i Lokalne	¢ 🔍
Author Reviewing interests Your data is stored in accordance with our privacy statement. Required fields are marked with an asterisk: *	Back to Submissions      Profile      Identity Contact Roles Public Password Notifications API Key      Reader      Reader	Change Language ✓ English Język Polski Submissions Edit Profile Logout
	Author Reviewer Reviewing interests Your data is stored in accordance with our <u>privacy statement</u> . Required fields are marked with an asterisk: *	Save





## **ARTICLE REVIEW**

Once registered and logged in, the reviewer can independently submit a review.

- o Open your email inbox and locate the message from <a href="mailto:sril.euroreg@uw.edu.pl">sril.euroreg@uw.edu.pl</a>
- o Click the article link

	[SRiL] Studia Regionalne i Loka	ne: Review Request Odebrane ×			₿	Ø
Racio Regionality I Lokalme	<b>sril.euroreg@uw.edu.pl</b> do mnie <del>▼</del>		pon., 16 cze, 09:52 (5 dni temu)	☆	¢	:
	Przetłumacz na polski	×				
	Dear Reviewer,					
	On behalf of Prof. Agnieszka Olechnicka, editor-in-c the Regional Studies Association, I would like to inv	hief of the Polish scientific quarterly "Studia Regionalne i Lokalne" published b te you to review a manuscript submitted to our journal. The submission's title a	y the University of Warsaw and the and abstract are inserted below.	Polish	sectior	1 of
	The Editorial Ethics Guidelines for reviewers are available	ilable on our website. Information clause regarding processing of reviewers' p	ersonal data is available <u>here</u> .			
	Please log into the journal website by 2025-06-23 to recommendation. The website is https://workflow.stu	indicate whether you will undertake the review or not, as well as to access the dreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril	e submission and to record your rev	iew and	d	
	The review itself is due 2025-07-21.					
	If you do not have your username and password for https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/log	the journal's website, you can use this link to reset your password (which will t n/lostPassword	then be emailed to you along with y	our use	ername	).
	Submission link: https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/	ndex.php/sril/reviewer/submission?submissionId=26&reviewId=53&key=aPeS	8cvE			
	Thank you for considering this request.					
	SRiL					
	Uniwersylet warszawski					

• In the first step you will see a request to conduct a review, along with the article title and abstract

Review:Resi	lience Ukraine	's Regional Labor Mar	rkets in conditions of full-scale war
1. Request	2. Guidelines	3. Download & Review	4. Completion
Request	for Review		
You have b for this rev	een selected as a po iew. We hope that y	otential reviewer of the follow you are able to participate.	ving submission. Below is an overview of the submission, as well as the timeline
	,		
Article Ti	tle		
Resilience	Ukraine's Regional I	Labor Markets in conditions o	of full-scale war
Abstract			
This study	examines the resilie	nce of Ukraine's regional lab	oor markets during the ongoing war, developing a methodology based on 17
indicators	related to business	activity, entrepreneurship, inv	vestment, employment, and institutional support. A resilience index was
calculated	for 25 regions in 20	23. Findings indicate significa	nt disparities: Lviv (0.754), Rivne (0.740), and Ivano-Frankivsk (0.739) showed the
highest res	ilience due to incre	ased business activity, while L	Luhansk (0.137), Kherson (0.254), and Donetsk (0.301) had the lowest resilience

highest resilience due to increased business activity, while Luhansk (0.137), Kherson (0.254), and Donetsk (0.301) had the lowest resilience due to military operations and destruction. The research offers recommendations to enhance labor market resilience, such as supporting business relocation, reskilling the workforce, and improving institutional capacity.





• Information on the review type (Anonymous Reviewer/Anonymous Author) and relevant deadlines will appear

Review Type Anonymous Reviewer/An	onymous Author		
View All Submission Deta	ils		
Review Schedule			
16.06.2025	23.06.2025	21.07.2025	
Editor's Request	Response Due Date	Review Due Date	

- o Information about the Competing Interests will be displayed below
- o Declare I do not have any competing interests (if applicable)
- o Click Accept Review, Continue to Step #2 and continue

<b>Competing Interests</b> This publisher has a policy for disclosure of potential competing interests from its reviewers. Please take a moment to review this policy.
<u>Competing Interests</u>
I do not have any competing interests     The second
$\Box$ Yes, I agree to have my data collected and stored according to the <u>privacy statement</u> .
Accept Review, Continue to Step #2 Decline Review Request

- o Step 2 will display guidelines for the reviewer to read
- Select Go to step 3

Review:Resilience Ukraine's Regional Labor Markets ir	o conditions of full-scale war
---	--------------------------------

Reviewer Guidelines         The Journal follows the CSE guidelines concerning the Reviewers' roles and responsibilities:         Responsibilities toward the Authors:         • Reviewers keep in confidence the details concerning the review process.         • They provide written, unbiased, and constructive feedback in a timely manner.         • They comment on the originality, accuracy, relevance, and linguistic competence of the article.         Responsibilities toward the Editors:         • Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.         • They provide constructive criticism.         • They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.         Responsibilities toward the Readers:         • Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.         • They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.	Request	2. Guidelines	3. Download & Review	4. Completion
The Journal follows the <u>CSE guidelines</u> concerning the Reviewers' roles and responsibilities: Responsibilities toward the Authors: • Reviewers keep in confidence the details concerning the review process. • They provide written, unbiased, and constructive feedback in a timely manner. • They comment on the originality, accuracy, relevance, and linguistic competence of the article. Responsibilities toward the Editors: • Reviewers reply promptly to invitations. • They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered. • They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript. Responsibilities toward the Readers: • Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript. • They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.	Reviewer	Guidelines		
<ul> <li>Responsibilities toward the Authors: <ul> <li>Reviewers keep in confidence the details concerning the review process.</li> <li>They provide written, unbiased, and constructive feedback in a timely manner.</li> <li>They comment on the originality, accuracy, relevance, and linguistic competence of the article.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Editors: <ul> <li>Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.</li> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	The Journal	follows the <u>CSE gu</u>	idelines concerning the Revie	iewers' roles and responsibilities:
<ul> <li>Reviewers keep in confidence the details concerning the review process.</li> <li>They provide written, unbiased, and constructive feedback in a timely manner.</li> <li>They comment on the originality, accuracy, relevance, and linguistic competence of the article.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Editors: <ul> <li>Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.</li> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> </ul> </li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul>	Responsibil	ities toward the Au	thors:	
<ul> <li>They provide written, unbiased, and constructive feedback in a timely manner.</li> <li>They comment on the originality, accuracy, relevance, and linguistic competence of the article.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Editors: <ul> <li>Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.</li> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They provide constructive criticism.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Revie	wers keep in confic	dence the details concerning	) the review process.
<ul> <li>They comment on the originality, accuracy, relevance, and linguistic competence of the article.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Editors: <ul> <li>Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.</li> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They provide constructive criticism.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	• They	provide written, un	biased, and constructive feed	edback in a timely manner.
<ul> <li>Responsibilities toward the Editors:</li> <li>Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.</li> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They provide constructive criticism.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers:</li> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>They</li> </ul>	comment on the or	riginality, accuracy, relevance	e, and linguistic competence of the article.
<ul> <li>Reviewers reply promptly to invitations.</li> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They provide constructive criticism.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Responsibil	ities toward the Ed	itors:	
<ul> <li>They disclose any conflict of interest, ethical concerns, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.</li> <li>They provide constructive criticism.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	• Revie	wers reply prompt	ly to invitations.	
<ul> <li>They provide constructive criticism.</li> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>They</li> </ul>	disclose any conflic	t of interest, ethical concerns	ıs, bias, plagiarism, or any other misconduct discovered.
<ul> <li>They indicate the ways to improve the manuscript.</li> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers: <ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>They</li> </ul>	provide constructiv	/e criticism.	
<ul> <li>Responsibilities toward the Readers:</li> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>They</li> </ul>	indicate the ways t	o improve the manuscript.	
<ul> <li>Reviewers make sure that the presented research can be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.</li> <li>They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.</li> </ul>	Responsibil	ities toward the Re	aders:	
They make sure that the cited works are relevant and up to date.	Revie	wers make sure th	at the presented research car	an be validated by the methods and analyses described in the manuscript.
	• They	make sure that the	cited works are relevant and	d up to date.
Continue to Step #3 Go Back				Continue to Step #3 Go Back





- $\circ$  In step 3, the article file for download will be displayed
- You can download the article to your local disk by hovering the cursor over the article title
- Locate the file in your downloads folder

			Resi Mari scale	ience+Ukraine's+Regional+Labor+ kets+in+conditions+of+full- 2+war+-+for+review.docx 8 - Gotowe
ew:Resilience Ukraine's Regional Labor Markets in conditions of full-s equest 2. Guidelines 3. Download & Review 4. Completion	cale war			
Review Files		C	Search	
95         Resilience Ukraine's Regional Labor Markets in conditions of full-scale war - for review.docx	2 June 2025	Article Text		

o Complete the checklist by responding Yes / No / Difficult to assess

Is the article accordant with the journal's profile i.e. it includes regional and/or local aspects? *	
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>Difficult to assess</li> </ul>	
Does the article tackle a significant scientific issue? *	
O Yes O No	
Does the article contribute novel insights to its field of study (in terms of the subject/methodology/approach to the research problem etc.)?	
* O Yes No	
Is the article reasonably and logically structured? *	
O Yes O No	
Is the aim of the article presented in a transparent manner? *	
<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
Has the aim of the article been achieved? *	
<ul><li>○ Yes</li><li>○ No</li></ul>	





Has the aim of the article been achieved? \*



Is the presented research methodologically correct? \*



Does the argument successfully support central claims? \*



Is the bibliography sufficient? \*



#### Is the title adequate? \*



Is the language comprehensible and correct? \*



#### Is the graphic illustration (maps, graphs, tables) sufficient? \*



#### Is the article of appropriate length? \*

Is the article of appropriate length? *
○ Yes
<ul> <li>No, it is too short</li> </ul>
O No, it is too long
Do you have the potential conflict of interest with regard to this paper? *
Did you deter any signs of place arism? * O Yes O No
Do you have any other ethical concerns about this study and paper? *







- In the next step the reviewer is asked to:
  - Choose one final recommendation
  - Enter your review text for the author in the provided editor
  - Optionally, enter confidential comments for the editorial team

Final recommendation *	
<ul> <li>Accept as it stands</li> <li>Minor revisions</li> <li>Major revisions</li> <li>Reject</li> </ul>	
THE REVIEW (to be sent to the Author/Authors without the reviewer's identity disclosure) *	
Pease specify:	
<ul> <li>What are the major shortcomings and limitations of the article (please explain )?</li> <li>How can they be reduced/corrected?</li> <li>What should be the direction of the revisions?</li> <li>What elements/theories/references are missing?</li> </ul>	
Which elements should be removed, and which should be saved/kept?	
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FOR THE EDITOR (not to be sent to the Author/Authors with the reviewer's identity disclosure):	A

- o The reviewer has the option to attach a file with the review, which will be sent to the Author
  - Selecting Upload file and uploading the file from your device

#### Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).



o Click Upload File

Upload File		×	elect "See comments".
1. Upload File	2. Review Details 3. Confirm		
Drag and drop	file here to begin upload		e(s). Q. Search Upload File
Continue	cel		



# Studia Regionalne i Lokalne

o Click Continue

Upload File	×	
1. Upload File 2. Review Details 3. Confirm		elect "See comments".
Resilience+Ukraine's+Regional+Labor+Markets+in+conditions+of+full-scale+war+-+for+review.docx     Change File     Continue     Cancel		e(s). Q Search Upload File

o Click Complete

Upload File		×	
1. Upload File 2. Review Details	3. Confirm		
	File Added	elect "See comments".	
	Add Another File		
Complete Cancel		e(s).	

#### o Optional: Add Discussion

Review Discussions			Add dis	scussion
Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
► <u>Reviewers</u>	sril 02.06.2025 13:06	-	0	

• Select participants

#### Add discussion

#### Participants



×





- Enter a subject line
- Enter message text
- Add attachments if needed
- Click OK

Subj	ect *	1												
Mes	sage	*												
Þ	Ĉ	В	Ι	U	P	ŝ	$\diamond$	K 3 K 3	÷					
				K										

Attached Files		Q Search	Upload File	Selec	ct Files
	No Files				
Required fields are marked with an asterisk:					
				ок	Cancel

- o From the dropdown menu, select Recommendation
- o Click Submit Reviev

#### Recommendation

Choose One	~	
Choose One		
Accept Submission		
Revisions Required		Submit Review Save for Later Go Ba
Resubmit for Review		
Resubmit Elsewhere		
Decline Submission		





o Confirm in the pop-up window by clicking OK

	Confirm	×		
Upload Upload files you Reviewer F	Are you sure you want to submit this review?	OK Cancel	; of the original review fi	e(s). Q Search Upload File

o In the fourth step you will see confirmation that the review has been completed

Review Submitt	ed				
hank you for completin	a the vertice of this submis	sion Vour review has been	submitted successfully		toward
hank you for completin contribution to the quali	g the review of this submis y of the work that we publ	sion. Your review has been ish; the editor may contact	submitted successfully you again for more inf	v. We apprecia formation if ne	ite your eeded.
hank you for completin contribution to the qualit <b>Review Discussions</b>	g the review of this submis y of the work that we publ	sion. Your review has been ish; the editor may contact	submitted successfully you again for more inf	v. We apprecia formation if ne Add dis	eeded.
Thank you for completing contribution to the qualit <b>Review Discussions</b> Name	g the review of this submis y of the work that we publ	sion. Your review has been ish; the editor may contact From	submitted successfully you again for more inf Last Reply	r. We apprecia formation if ne Add dis <sub>Replies</sub>	eeded.
Thank you for completing contribution to the quality Review Discussions Name • Reviewers	g the review of this submis y of the work that we publ	sion. Your review has been ish; the editor may contact From sril	submitted successfully you again for more inf Last Reply -	r. We apprecia formation if ne Add dis Replies 0	eeded.





### **SUBMISSIONS**

Once a reviewer accepts the invitation, information about assigned articles and review deadlines becomes visible on the user dashboard after login:

https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/submissions#myQueue

In the My Queue view, articles pending review are listed.

o Click View next to the relevant article to begin the review process

lueu	ue (3) Unassigned All Active (8) Archives (	27		0 Help			
Му	Assigned	Search	<b>▼</b> Filters	New Submission			
33	Review Assignment						
	Fundusze wsparcia dla klastrów energii województwa doln	🗸 Rev	Review Submitted View				
	You have been assigned an editorial role for this submission. Would	you like to access the <u>Editorial workflow</u> ?					
31	Review Assignment						
	The role of cities in Turkish relations with China			View			
	Response Due: 19.06.2025 Review Due: 17.07.2025			VIEW			
	You have been assigned an editorial role for this submission. Would	you like to access the <u>Editorial workflow</u> ?					
26	Review Assignment						
	Resilience Ukraine's Regional Labor Markets in conditions	of full-scale war	🗸 Rev	iew Submitted View			





## FORGOTTEN PASSWORD

To reset a forgotten password, go to the login page: https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/login

<ul> <li>Click Forgot your password?</li> </ul>	
Home / Login	
	Language
Login	English
Required fields are marked with an asterisk: *	<u>Język Polski</u>
Username *	
Password * Eorgot your password?	
Keep me logged in	
Register Login	

- Or go directly to: <u>https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/login/lostPassword</u>
- Enter the email address used during registration
- Click Reset Password

LOGIN	SUBMISSIONS	PRIVACY STATEMENT	CONTACT		
Home /	Reset Password			Language	
Rese	et Passwo	ord		English	
Enter yo	ur account email a	ddress below and an email w	ill be sent with instructions on how to reset your password.	<u>Język Polski</u>	
Registered	d user's email *				
E	Register Reset	Password			

An email will be sent with a link to set a new password. After receiving the reset confirmation message:

Studia Regionalne i Lokalne 🖉 🖓 🔛							
LOGIN	SUBMISSIONS	PRIVACY STATEMENT	CONTAC	а			
Home	/ Reset Password						
						Language	
Re	set Passwo	ord				English	
A confirmation has been sent to your email address. Please follow the instructions in the email to reset your password.				<u>Język Polski</u>			
Login							





- Open your email inbox and find the message from sril.euroreg@uw.edu.pl
- Click the reset link



#### After receiving the reset confirmation message:

Studia Regionalne i Lokalne	
LOGIN SUBMISSIONS PRIVACY STATEMENT CONTACT	
Home / Reset Password	Language
Reset Password	English
Password has been updated successfully. Please login with updated password.	<u>Język Polski</u>
Login	





Log in via https://workflow.studreg.uw.edu.pl/index.php/sril/login

Home / Login	
	Language
Login	English
Required fields are marked with an asterisk: *	<u>Język Polski</u>
Username *	
Password*	